

## Abstract

Many of the existing approaches address pose estimation in laboratory conditions. We present a real-time algorithm that estimates the head-pose from unrestricted 2D gray-scale images. We propose a classification scheme, based on a Random Forest, where patches extracted randomly from the image cast votes for the corresponding discrete head-pose.

## **Patch-based channel features**

• Our features are the difference between the average values in two rectangles,  $R_1$  and

#### **Experiments laboratory**

Our proposal has a MAE close to the state-of-the-art in this database. All three approaches with better results use holistic HOG-based face features [2, 3, 1]. This global feature is slightly more informative, in this constrained context, for estimating face pose than the set of local patches that we use in our approach.

Mathad	Pointing-04		
Ivietnou	MAE	Accuracy $(0^{\circ})$	
Stiefelhagen [4]	9.5°	52.0%	
Haj [2]	6.56°	67.36%	
Hara [3]	5.29°	-	

• Our reactives are the unreferred between the average values in two rectangles,  $n_1$  and  $R_2$ , in a channel  $\alpha$ 

$$f(p,\theta) = \frac{1}{|R_1|} \sum_{\mathbf{q}\in R_1} \mathbf{I}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{|R_2|} \sum_{\mathbf{q}\in R_2} \mathbf{I}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{q})$$

where  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  are pixel coordinates.

• Channels are gray-scale values, Sobel borders and 35 Gabor filters.



Figure 1: Sample channels used in our approach.

# **Training regression forest**

- Train each decision tree using a randomly selected set of patches from a random subset of the training faces.
- Optimize each weak learner by selecting the  $\theta = (R_1, R_2, \alpha)$ , from a random pool of candidates  $\phi = (\theta, \tau)$ , that maximizes the information gain

$$IG(\phi) = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P}) - \sum_{S \in \{L,R\}} \frac{|\mathcal{P}_S(\phi)|}{|\mathcal{P}|} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P}_S(\phi))$$

where  $\tau$  details the threshold on the feature value,  $\mathcal{P}_L(\phi) = \{\mathcal{P}| f(P, \theta) < \tau\}, \mathcal{P}_R(\phi) = \{\mathcal{P}| f(P, \theta) < \tau\}$ 

Geng [1]	$4.24^{\circ}$	73.30%
Our method	<b>7.84</b> °	55.19%

## **Experiments in-the-wild**

Our approach achieves the best performance, both in terms of MAE and classification accuracy. It submits a frame rate of 80 FPS on an Intel Core i7 CPU processor at 3.60GHz with 8 cores multi-threaded, 300 times faster than the second best approach, Zhu et al. [6].

Mathad	AFLW		AFW	
Method	MAE	Accuracy ( $\leq 15^{\circ}$ )	MAE	Accuracy ( $\leq 15^{\circ}$ )
Haj [2]	-	-	-	78.7%
Zhu [6]	-	-	_	81.0%
Sundararajan [5]	$17.48^{\circ}$	58.05%	17.20°	58.33%
Our method	<b>12.26</b> °	72.57%	<b>12.50</b> °	83.54%



Figure 3: Cumulative head-pose error distribution and confusion matrix for AFLW.

## $\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_L(\phi)$ , and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P}_S(\phi))$ is the class uncertainty measure. • In our case, $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P}) = log(\sigma\sqrt{2\pi e})$ is the Gaussian differential entropy.



Figure 2: Head-pose prediction using different face image patches.

#### References

- [1] Geng, X., Xia, Y.: Head pose estimation based on multivariate label distribution. In: Proc. Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) (2014)
- [2] Haj, M.A., González, J., Davis, L.S.: On partial least squares in head pose estimation: How to simultaneously deal with misalignment. In: Proc. Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) (2012)
- [3] Hara, K., Chellappa, R.: Growing regression forests by classification: Applications to object pose estimation. In: Proc. European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV) (2014)
- [4] Stiefelhagen, R.: Estimating head pose with neural networks. In: Proc. International Conference on Pattern Recognition Workshops (ICPRW) (2004)
- [5] Sundararajan, K., Woodard, D.L.: Head pose estimation in the wild using approximate view manifolds. In: Proc. Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW) (2015)
- [6] Zhu, X., Ramanan, D.: Face detection, pose estimation, and landmark localization in the wild. In: Proc. Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) (2012)

#### Results



Figure 4: Sample results for Pointing-04, AFLW and AFW databases. Green and blue lines indicate respectively pose estimation and ground truth yaw angle.

Acknowledgements: The authors gratefully acknowledge funding from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under project SPACES-UPM (TIN2013-47630).